



National Capital Territory of Delhi

**SGA**rchitects

# **Evaluation of Electric Bus Depot**

# Design for Bawana, Burari and East

# Vinod Nagar

**Final Report** 

October 2018

This is a technical document produced by SGArchitects, New Delhi. The author(s) of the document has taken all reasonable care to ensure that the contents of the document do not violate any existing copyright or other intellectual property rights of any person in any manner whatsoever. In the event the authors have been unable to track any source and if any copyright has been inadvertently infringed, please notify us in writing for corrective action. You may also contact us for any further technical clarification and discrepancies at address below.

SGArchitects 6151/8, Sector D, Pocket 6 Vasant Kunj New Delhi – 110070 www.sgarchitect.in

> Key Contributors: Sandeep Gandhi Principal Architect

Satyajit Ganguly Senior Transport Planner

Kanica Gola Infrastructure Planner

Pushkar Dhawale Urban and Regional Planner

> Garima Aggarwal Urban Designer

Kartikay Kochhar Architect

# Acknowledgements

The authors would like to express our gratitude to Shakti Sustainable Energy Foundation. Shakti Sustainable Energy Foundation works to strengthen the energy security of India by aiding the design and implementation of policies that support renewable energy, energy efficiency and sustainable transport solutions.

We would like to thank Government of National Capital Territory of Delhi (GNCTD), for their participation as case study examples, for their immense support and cooperation in making the document possible. We deeply acknowledge Shri. Kailash Gahlot, Hon'ble Minister of Transport, GNCTD, Mr. Jasmin Shah, Advisor to the Minister Transport, GNCTD, Mr. Manoj Kumar, I.A.S., Managing Director, DTC and Mr. S K Goyal, DTC for their guidance and valuable inputs.

Disclaimer:

The views/analysis expressed in this report/document do not necessarily reflect the views of Shakti Sustainable Energy Foundation. The Foundation also does not guarantee the accuracy of any data included in this publication nor does it accept any responsibility for the consequences of its use.

# Contents

1	Intr	oduction	5
2	Dep	ot Design Evaluation	7
	2.1	Planning Principles Used	7
	2.2	Functions and Area Comparison	13
3	Con	clusion	23
4	Ann	exures	24
	4.1	Bawana Bus Depot (Existing proposal):	24
	4.2	Burari Bus Depot Plan (Existing proposal):	25
	4.3	East Vinod Nagar Bus Depot Plans (Existing proposal):	26
	4.4	Bawana Bus Depot Plans (Proposed Option 1):	31
	4.5	Bawana Bus Depot Plans (Proposed Option 2):	34
	4.6	Burari Bus Depot Plans (Proposed):	37
	4.7	East Vinod Nagar Bus Depot Plans (Proposed):	40
	4.8	Response to Comments on Bus Depot Design Evaluation Report	43

# List of Tables:

Table 1: Bus depot details	.7
Table 2: Evaluation of current design based on ASRTU Guidelines	.7
Table 3: Comparison of the overall site for Bawana Bus Depot	14
Table 4: Comparison of the overall site for Burari Bus Depot	14
Table 5: Comparison of the overall site for East Vinod Nagar Bus Depot	15
Table 6: Detailed area comparison for administrative/ restroom/ toilet blocks and worksho	р
area for Bawana Depot	16
Table 7: Detailed area comparison for administrative/ restroom/ toilet blocks and worksho	р
area for Burari Depot	18
Table 8: Detailed area comparison for administrative/ restroom/ toilet blocks and worksho	р
area for East Vinod Nagar Depot	20
Table 9: Broad classification of responses on key design comments	43
Table 10: Detailed response to comments on the design evaluation report – Bawana Depot	t
	43
Table 11: Detailed response to comments on the design evaluation report – East Vinod	
Nagar Depot	44
، Table 12: Detailed response to comments on the design evaluation report – Burari Depot	46

# List of Figures:

Figure 1: Proposed Workshop area (Bawana Bus Depot)	9
Figure 2: Proposed Workshop area (Burari Bus Depot)	10
Figure 3: Proposed Workshop area (East Vinod Nagar Bus Depot)	10
Figure 4: Crew and admin staff circulation in Admin block (Bawana Bus Depot)	11
Figure 5: Crew and admin staff circulation in Admin block (Burari Bus Depot)	11
Figure 6: Crew and admin staff circulation in Admin block (East vinod nagar Bus Depot)	12
Figure 7: Two-way Bus circulation in existing proposal (Burari Bus Depot)	12
Figure 8: One-way Bus circulation in proposed option (Burari Bus Depot)	12

# 1 Introduction

Transport Department, Government of Delhi desires to expand the bus fleet in Delhi to approximately 9,500 buses immediately, and to about 11,000 buses in the near future- from the current 6450 buses (including both, buses operated by Delhi Transport Corporation and Cluster buses operated by DIMTS). Of these 11,000 buses, roughly half i.e. 5,500, shall be operated by Delhi Transport Corporation (DTC), while the remaining 5,500 shall be under the cluster bus operations.

Of the current fleet of 6,450 buses being operated in Delhi, roughly 4,800 are being operated by DTC, while 1650 buses are being operated by DIMTS. Additionally, DIMTS is undertaking a bus route rationalization study for the Transport Department, and the findings from the same are expected to be available for use some time during the end of this year. The findings of this study are likely to affect a redistribution of routes between terminals and a subsequent re-allocation of bus fleet between depots.

Currently DTC is in the process of acquiring the about 700 buses to meet its target of 5,500 and is expected to accommodate the same in its existing depots through specific capacity enhancement measures. DIMTS on the other hand is in the process of procuring another 1,000 buses. The depot land to accommodate the same has already been handed over to them and the same is under development. Additional 1,350 buses need to be added to the fleet of cluster buses in the near future in order to achieve the short-term target of a fleet of 9,500 buses in Delhi. The Transport Department GNCTD, is awaiting the transfer of promised depot land from Delhi Development Authority (DDA), before going ahead with the procurement.

In order to meet the medium-term target of a fleet of 11,000 buses in Delhi, Over the short term target of 9500 buses, Transport Department GNCTD needs to facilitate an additional induction of 1,500 buses under the cluster bus operations. This is subject to availability of land for depots to accommodate these buses. Transport Department understands that this land may be hard to come by and thus intends to focus on augmenting optimization of current available land, through better planning, combined uses and development of multi-level parking structure for buses. These recommendations have also been listed in the 'Centre for Science and Environment' (CSE) publication – Waiting for the Bus (2017).

Of the 4550 buses that need to be added in Delhi, in the short to medium term, GNCTD intends to include nearly 600 electric buses. To this end, off the depot land parcels made available, to Transport Department by DDA, six sites are currently being planned to be developed as dedicated electric bus depots.

Out of above mentioned six sites, electric bus depot proposals have been developed for three sites (i.e. Bawana, Burari and East Vinod Nagar) by GNCTD. SGArchitects also developed design options for same three depots with the help of ASRTU Planning and Design

Guidelines. This report compared the existing proposals developed by GNCTD and proposal developed with ASRTU Guideline.

# 2 Depot Design Evaluation

This study evaluates the designs developed for three bus depots. The details of these depots and the organization under whom the designs are being developed are presented in Table 1.

Table 1: Bus depot details	
----------------------------	--

S. No.	Depot Name and location	Organization developing it	Site area (in Sqm)
1	Bawana Depot	Transport Department, GNCTD	23085
2	Burari Depot	Transport Department, GNCTD	27315
3	East Vinod Nagar	Transport Department, GNCTD	18821

For evaluating these depot designs, the designs are compared with planning principles and area estimates recommended by Association of State Road Transport Undertaking (ASRTU) (S G Architects, 2017), and also with the designs of depots developed for same site using ASRTU recommendations.

This report provides this comparison at two levels:

- Planning principles used
- Detailed designs and area occupied

## 2.1 Planning Principles Used

ASRTU recommends using a compact design approach in order to improve space use efficiency of the existing depot site. It is said that currently depots are designed consuming an area of between 160 to up to 200 sqm per bus (depending on the capacity of the depot site), whereas this space requirement can be brought down to between 140 and 160 sq.m. per bus. A compact design approach recommends going vertical (for functions which allow vertical development), thereby releasing the site to accommodate more buses. Additionally, it is recommended that in order to ensure safety and security of material and staff at the depot, there should be designed access control at the site. The three depot site designs being evaluated have no designed access control – there is no physical segregation between crew/visitor parking and bus parking. Existing proposal plans for Bawana, Burari and East Vinod Nagar bus depot has been presented in Annexure 4.4, 4.5, 4.6 and 4.7 respectively. Table 2 provides a detailed description of deviation of the designs being evaluated from ASRTU planning and design principles.

Table 2: Evaluation	of current	t design based	on ASRTU Guidelines
---------------------	------------	----------------	---------------------

Planning and Design Principle	Current design	Recommended approach
Compact design	Current designs include 4 building blocks scattered at the site. These are: Admin block, crew restrooms, toilet block and workshop. Each of these blocks are 1 to 2 story high, thereby occupying higher overall	ASRTU recommends two blocks, workshop and Admin. The admin block should accommodate admin and crew facilities (including toilet block) at different floors and separate accesses, so that these

	site footprint. However, utility of toilet block is not clear as toilets have been provided in workshop, restroom and admin block.	two functions do not interfere with each other. Such admin blocks can be up to 3 story tall. Workshop block should have its separate toilet facilities for service staff (Refer Figure 1, Figure 2 and Figure 3)
Comfort	In the current design, rest room block is located adjacent to workshop block. This location of rest room block may cause uncomfortable environment for resting crew during night due to disturbance from workshop which operates throughout the night.	Provision of comfortable restroom for crew is essential for higher crew productivity as well overall safety. It is therefore recommended that restrooms should be as much isolated as possible from any major sources of noise and disturbance in the depot.
Access control	The site area is one continuous uncontrolled space, and common bus, staff, visitor and staff vehicle entrance is provided through one common gate. Entrance through this gate allows by design, unhindered access to all facilities/areas in the depot.	Access to depot area should be strictly restricted to only authorized and necessary personnel. This is possible by using the admin block as access control to the depot area. All personnel, visitors and staff need to pass through the admin block in order to gain access to the depot operational area. Thus, admin block should be the barrier/buffer between the private vehicle parking and bus parking with access-controlled gates on both sides (Refer Figure 4, Figure 5 and Figure 6)
Barrier free design	The depot design does not follow the principles of universal accessibility. Lifts for staff, and others have not been provided for access to upper floors by physically challenged.	Depot design should incorporate lifts in all buildings with more than one floor.
Circulation design	Bus bay arrangement and circulation design leads to creation of negative/unused spaces between buses in the central aisle (refer Figure 7).	Aisle of central bus bays (between the driveways) can be designed with one-way circulation in order to eliminate negative spaces created between parked buses (refer Figure 8).
Charging infrastructure	The proposed depot sites are being developed as depots for electric buses, however the	It is estimated that for a depot with a capacity of 150 buses, a substation with a total area of close

designs include CNG infrastructure along with electrical sub stations. However, the area provided for electrical sub stations is much lower than that prescribed by dis-coms (120 to 200 sq.m.). Additional no space been allocated has for transformers and covered electric charging stations have not been shown in the designs.

to 600sq.m. is required, in addition a space for 6 transformers totaling 120 sqm (4m X 5m each) is required to be provided. Each electrical charging station needs a protected platform with a recommended minimum size of 2.5m X 2.5m, and it is suggested the number of bus bays served by charging stations should be at least 60% of the total number of buses in the depot<sup>1</sup>. Thus, charging stations provided between the buses in the central aisle have a potential to serve more per station, thereby buses minimizing the costs involved.



Figure 1: Proposed Workshop area (Bawana Bus Depot)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> 1 charging station can charge more than 1 bus – depending on the design of the charging stations.



Figure 2: Proposed Workshop area (Burari Bus Depot)



Figure 3: Proposed Workshop area (East Vinod Nagar Bus Depot)



Figure 4: Crew and admin staff circulation in Admin block (Bawana Bus Depot)





ADMINISTRATIVE BLOCK

Figure 6: Crew and admin staff circulation in Admin block (East vinod nagar Bus Depot)



Figure 7: Two-way Bus circulation in existing proposal (Burari Bus Depot)



Figure 8: One-way Bus circulation in proposed option (Burari Bus Depot)

#### 2.2 Functions and Area Comparison

It is observed that because of the way the circulation of buses inside the depot is designed, the way the staff and visitor parking has been accommodated and the way block with different functions are laid out at different locations in the site, the space use efficiency of the plan suffers. This leads to loss of capacity by as much as 19 Buses (in Bawana depot). In addition it is observed that most functions and area allocation of functions is less than what is recommended by ASRTU (S G Architects, 2017). For example, ASRTU recommends lodging facilities (restrooms) and cafeteria, on site for 25% of the crew and lockers/change rooms for 100% of the crew. The area provided in the current designs ensures restroom provisions for only 5% of the crew and it excludes any provisions for crew change rooms and locker rooms. In addition, spaces and provision for many other functions in all or most of the three depot designs is missing. These include Sub-station area, defined staff parking and space for charging stations near bus parking.

Table 3 to Table 5 present the area comparison of the overall site for each of the three depots. The comparison shows that even though the areas recommended by ASRTU and the same provided in the ASRTU guideline (SGArchitects, 2015) based designs is much more than those presented in the current proposals (under review), the ASRTU recommendations based designs manage to accommodate more buses and reduce per bus area requirement to as low as 144 sqm per bus (for Bawana and Burari Depot). This has been achieved by the compact design approach mentioned above, as well by integrating circulation design which minimizes dead/unused spaces. Proposed plans for Bawana (option 1), Bawana (option 2), Burari and East Vinod Nagar bus depot has been presented in Annexure 4.4, 4.5, 4.6 and 4.7 respectively.

	BAWANA BUS DEPOT				
Nos.	Site Area	Existing	ASRTU	Option 1	Option 2
		Proposal	Guideline		
	Total Site area (Sqm)	23085	24370	23085	23085
1	Workshop Building footprint (including stores) (Sq.m.)	1138	2280	2398	2092
2	Area for washing (Sq.m.)	158	420	175	175
3	Sub Station Area (Sq.m.)	-	-	600	637
4	Area under Transformers & ESS (Sq.m.)	128	-	151	315
5	Charging station area (Sq.m)	-	-	1171	1287
6	CNG Pump area (Sq.m.)	725	648	-	-
7	Bus Parking Area (Sq.m.)	13902	15760	14365	11059
8	Admin block footprint (Sq.m.)	300	370	626	463
9	Restroom footprint (Sq.m.)	140	-	-	-
10	Toilet block footprint (Sq.m.)	92	-	-	-
11	Staff Parking Area (Sq.m.)	659	1020	1161	1098
12	Commercial Area	-	-	-	2500
13	Area under circulation and negative spaces	5843	3872	2438	3459
14	Bus Parking Capacity (Buses)	141	160	160	150
15	Staff parking Capacity (ECS)	29	44	50	48
16	No. of Electrical Charging station (Nos.)	-	-	107	116
17	No. of CNG Dispensers (Nos.)	2	4	-	-
18	Number of washing bays (Nos.)	1	2	2	2
19	Number of workshop pits (Nos.)	4	8	8	8
20	Number of workshop service bays (Nos.)	3	-	1	-
21	Area per bus (Sq.m)	164	152	144	154

## Table 3: Comparison of the overall site for Bawana Bus Depot

Table 4: Comparison of the overall site for Burari Bus Depot

	BURARI BUS DEPOT				
Nos.	Site Area	Existing	Guideline	Option 1	
		Proposal			
	Total Site area (Sq.m.)	27315	28390	27315	
1	Workshop Building footprint (including stores) (Sq.m.)	2071	2630	2465	
2	Area for washing (Sq.m.)	275	420	219	
3	Sub Station Area (Sq.m.)	250	-	625	
4	Area under Transformers & ESS (Sq.m.)	-	-	210	
5	Charging station area (Sq.m)	-	-	1473	
6	CNG Pump area (Sq.m.)	675	785	-	
7	Bus Parking Area (Sq.m.)	15908	18690	16838	
8	Admin block footprint (Sq.m.)	300	390	696	

9	Restroom footprint (Sq.m.)	114	-	-
10	Toilet block footprint (Sq.m.)	146	-	-
11	Staff Parking Area (Sq.m.)	-	1160	1377
12	commercial Area	-	-	-
13	Area under circulation and negative spaces	7576	4315	3412
14	Bus Parking Capacity (Buses)	181	190	190
15	Staff parking Capacity (ECS)	-	50	60
16	No. of Electrical Charging station (Nos.)	-	-	152
17	No. of CNG Dispensers (Nos.)	2	5	-
18	Number of washing bays (Nos.)	1	2	2
19	Number of workshop pits (Nos.)	6	10	10
20	Number of workshop service bays (Nos.)	4	-	-
21	Area per bus (Sq.m)	151	149	144

Table 5: Comparison of the overall site for East Vinod Nagar Bus Depot

	EAST VINOD NAGAR BUS DEPOT				
Nos.	Site Area	Existing Proposal	Guideline	Option 1	
	Total Site area (Sq.m.)	18821	19650	18821	
1	Workshop Building footprint (including stores) (Sq.m.)	1091	2130	1855	
2	Area for washing (Sq.m.)	161	210	130	
3	Sub Station Area (Sq.m.)	-	-	600	
4	Area under Transformers & ESS (Sq.m.)	128	-	170	
5	Charging station area (Sq.m)	-	-	992	
6	CNG Pump area (Sq.m.)	0	511	-	
7	Bus Parking Area (Sq.m.)	9480	12340	10849	
8	Admin block footprint (Sq.m.)	300	340	612	
9	Restroom footprint (Sq.m.)	140	-	-	
10	Toilet block footprint (Sq.m.)	92	-	-	
11	Staff Parking Area (Sq.m.)	-	850	1159	
12	commercial Area	-	-	-	
13	Area under circulation and negative spaces	7429	3269	2454	
14	Bus Parking Capacity (Buses)	124	125	125	
15	Staff parking Capacity (ECS)	-	37	50	
16	No. of Electrical Charging station (Nos.)	-	-	93	
17	No. of CNG Dispensers (Nos.)	0	3	-	
18	Number of washing bays (Nos.)	1	1	1	
19	Number of workshop pits (Nos.)	4	7	7	
20	Number of workshop service bays (Nos.)	3	-	-	
21	Area per bus (Sq.m)	152	157	151	

Table 6 To Table 8 Present the detailed area comparison for administrative cum restroom cum toilet blocks/functions and workshop block/functions for each of the three depot sites under review. The comparison shows that areas allocated most functions in the proposed designs (under review) are less than those recommended by ASRTU (S G Architects, 2017) or included in the ASRTU recommendation based designs. In all three existing proposals, it is observed that Workshop total built up area is significantly low than that advised by ASRTU Guideline. Additionally, no dedicated spaces have been provided for functions such as Concessionaire Room, Meeting room, Workshop worker rest room & locker room, Storage areas (including scrap tyre, spare parts and oil tank storage areas) and workshop manager & meeting room.

Table 6: Detailed area comparison for administrative/ restroom/ toilet blocks and workshop area for Bawana Depot

	BAWANA DEPOT: ADMINISTRATIV	'E REST ROON	/I & TOILET	BLOCK	
A	GROUND FLOOR	Existing Proposal	ASRTU Guideline	Option 1	Option 2
1	Locker room	-	50	83	40
2	Canteen and kitchen	-	50	50	68
3	Toilet for Crew	-	56	41	26
4	Toilet for Staff	22	15	41	21
5	Driver control room	-	15	15	15
6	Way bill room	22	15	15	20
7	ETM room	22	25	24	25
8	Depot Manager room	-	15	30	18
9	Concessionaire Room	-	30	30	31
10	MIS room	33	30	30	32
11	Meeting room	-	20	30	30
12	Ticket room	26	-	-	-
13	Computer room	33	-	-	-
14	Room 1	22	-	-	-
15	Room 2	22	-	-	-
16	Passage/Circulation/Staircase & Lift/Walls	99	49	237	137
	Total Ground floor area	300	370	626	463
	Rest room Block				
17	Rest room	40	-	-	-
18	Canteen and kitchen	36	-	-	-
19	Toilet	28	-	-	-
20	Passage/Circulation/Staircase & Lift/Walls	36	-	-	-
	Total Ground floor area	140	-	-	-
	Toilet Block				
21	Total Ground floor area	92	-	-	-

В	FIRST FLOOR	Existing Proposal	ASRTU Guideline	Option 1	Option 2	
22	Depot Manager room	26	-	-	-	
23	7 Rooms - function not defined	154	-	-	-	
24	Crew rest room	-	285	310	450	
25	Toilet	15	50	82	47	
26	Passage/Circulation/Staircase & Lift/Walls	105	35	234	163	
	Total First floor area	300	370	626	660	
	Rest room Block					
27	Rest room	40	-	-	-	
28	Terrace	36	-	-	-	
29	Toilet	28	-	-	-	
30	Passage/Circulation/Staircase & Lift/Walls	36	-	-	-	
	Total First floor area	140	-	-	-	
С	SECOND FLOOR	Existing Proposal	ASRTU Guideline	Option 1	Option 2	
31	Crew rest room	-	285	310	450	
32	Toilet	-	50	82	47	
33	Passage/Circulation/Staircase & Lift/Walls	-	35	234	163	
	Total Second floor area	-	370	626	660	
BAWANA DEPOT: WORKSHOP AREA						
BA۱	WANA DEPOT: WORKSHOP AREA					
BA\ A	WANA DEPOT: WORKSHOP AREA GROUND FLOOR	Existing	ASRTU	Option 1	Option	
	WANA DEPOT: WORKSHOP AREA GROUND FLOOR Workshop Bits	Existing Proposal	ASRTU Guideline	Option 1	<b>Option</b> <b>2</b>	
BAN A 1	WANA DEPOT: WORKSHOP AREA GROUND FLOOR Workshop Pits Sonvice Payr	Existing Proposal 125	ASRTU Guideline 392	<b>Option 1</b> 392	<b>Option</b> <b>2</b> 392	
BAV A 1 2 3	WANA DEPOT: WORKSHOP AREA GROUND FLOOR Workshop Pits Service Bays Manager room	Existing Proposal 125 94	ASRTU Guideline 392 -	<b>Option 1</b> 392 49	<b>Option</b> 2 392 -	
BAN A 1 2 3	WANA DEPOT: WORKSHOP AREA GROUND FLOOR Workshop Pits Service Bays Manager room Meeting room	Existing Proposal 125 94	ASRTU Guideline 392 - 20	<b>Option 1</b> 392 49 20	<b>Option</b> 2 392 - 20	
BAV A 1 2 3 4	WANA DEPOT: WORKSHOP AREA GROUND FLOOR Workshop Pits Service Bays Manager room Meeting room Tyre Resoling plant and Retreated tyre	Existing Proposal 125 94 -	ASRTU Guideline 392 - 20 20 68	<b>Option 1</b> 392 49 20 20 79	<b>Option</b> <b>2</b> 392 - 200 19	
BA\ A 1 2 3 4 5 6	WANA DEPOT: WORKSHOP AREA GROUND FLOOR Workshop Pits Service Bays Manager room Meeting room Tyre Resoling plant and Retreated tyre Main store	Existing Proposal 125 94 - -	ASRTU Guideline 392 - 20 20 68 90	<b>Option 1</b> 392 49 200 200 799	<b>Option</b> 2 392 - 20 19 19 200 94	
BA\ A 1 2 3 4 5 6 7	WANA DEPOT: WORKSHOP AREA GROUND FLOOR Workshop Pits Service Bays Manager room Meeting room Tyre Resoling plant and Retreated tyre Main store Engine room	Existing Proposal 125 94 - -	ASRTU Guideline 392 - 200 200 68 68 900 40	Option 1 392 49 200 200 799 900 40	<b>Option</b> 2 392 - 200 19 19 200 200 200 200 200 200 200 200 200 20	
BAN A 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	WANA DEPOT: WORKSHOP AREA <b>GROUND FLOOR</b> Workshop Pits Service Bays Manager room Meeting room Tyre Resoling plant and Retreated tyre Main store Engine room Upholster room	Existing Proposal 125 94 - -	ASRTU Guideline 392 	Option 1 392 49 200 200 799 900 400	<b>Option</b> 2 392 - 20 19 19 20 94 20 42	
BAN A 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	WANA DEPOT: WORKSHOP AREA GROUND FLOOR Workshop Pits Service Bays Manager room Meeting room Tyre Resoling plant and Retreated tyre Main store Engine room Upholster room Paint room	Existing Proposal 125 94 - -	ASRTU Guideline 392 - 20 20 20 68 90 40 40 40	<b>Option 1</b> 392 49 200 200 799 900 400 400	<b>Option</b> 2 392 - 20 19 4 20 4 2 4 2 4 2 4 2	
BAN A 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	WANA DEPOT: WORKSHOP AREA <b>GROUND FLOOR</b> Workshop Pits Service Bays Manager room Meeting room Tyre Resoling plant and Retreated tyre Main store Engine room Upholster room Paint room Electric room	Existing Proposal 125 94 - - -	ASRTU Guideline 392 - 200 200 200 400 400 400 400 400	Option 1 392 49 20 20 20 79 40 40 40 40 40	<b>Option</b> 2 392 - 200 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100	
BAN A 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	WANA DEPOT: WORKSHOP AREA <b>GROUND FLOOR</b> Workshop Pits Service Bays Manager room Meeting room Tyre Resoling plant and Retreated tyre Main store Engine room Upholster room Paint room Electric room Gear room	Existing Proposal 125 94 - - - - -	ASRTU Guideline 392 20 20 20 20 40 40 40 40 40 40 30	Option 1 392 49 20 20 79 90 40 40 40 40 40 32	<b>Option</b> 2 392 2 0 2 0 1 9 4 2 4 2 4 2 4 2 4 2 2 3 2	
BAN A 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 11 12	WANA DEPOT: WORKSHOP AREA <b>GROUND FLOOR</b> Workshop Pits Service Bays Manager room Meeting room Tyre Resoling plant and Retreated tyre Main store Engine room Upholster room Paint room Electric room Gear room	Existing Proposal 125 94 - - - -	ASRTU Guideline 392 20 20 20 20 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 30 30	Option 1 392 49 20 20 20 20 40 40 40 40 40 40 22 40	<b>Option</b> 2 392 2 2 2 3 3 4 2 3 4 2 4 2 4 2 3 2 8 3 2 8	
BAN A 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	WANA DEPOT: WORKSHOP AREA <b>GROUND FLOOR</b> Workshop Pits Service Bays Manager room Meeting room Tyre Resoling plant and Retreated tyre Main store Engine room Upholster room Paint room Electric room Gear room Machine room	Existing Proposal 125 94 	ASRTU Guideline 392 200 200 200 200 200 200 200 200 200 2	Option 1 392 49 20 20 79 90 40 40 40 40 40 40 32 24 48	<b>Option</b> 2 392 2 0 2 0 1 9 4 2 4 2 4 2 4 2 2 8 2 8 2 8 3 2 8 3 2 8 3 2 8 3 2 8 3 2 8 3 2 8 3 2 8 3 2 1 9 1 9 1 9 1 9 1 9 1 9 1 9 1 9 1 9 1	
BAN A 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	WANA DEPOT: WORKSHOP AREA <b>GROUND FLOOR</b> Workshop Pits Service Bays Manager room Meeting room Tyre Resoling plant and Retreated tyre Main store Engine room Upholster room Paint room Electric room Gear room Machine room Toilet New tyre storage	Existing Proposal 125 94 - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -	ASRTU Guideline 392 20 20 20 20 30 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40	Option 1 392 49 20 20 20 79 90 40 40 40 40 40 40 32 24 48 20	<b>Option</b> 2 392 2 0 2 0 1 9 4 2 4 2 4 2 2 3 2 3 2 3 2 3 3 2 3 3 2 3 3 3 3	
BAN A 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	WANA DEPOT: WORKSHOP AREA <b>GROUND FLOOR</b> Workshop Pits Service Bays Manager room Meeting room Tyre Resoling plant and Retreated tyre Main store Engine room Upholster room Paint room Electric room Gear room Machine room Toilet New tyre storage Punctured tyre storage	Existing Proposal 94 94 - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -	ASRTU Guideline 392 20 20 20 20 30 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40	Option 1 392 49 200 200 799 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 20 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40	<b>Option</b> 2 392 2 0 2 0 1 9 4 2 4 2 4 2 4 2 2 3 2 8 2 3 2 5 2 8 2 3 2 5 2 3 2 3 2 3 2 3 3 2 5 3 3 2 5 3 3 3 3	
BAN A 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	WANA DEPOT: WORKSHOP AREA <b>GROUND FLOOR</b> Workshop Pits Service Bays Manager room Meeting room Tyre Resoling plant and Retreated tyre Main store Engine room Upholster room Paint room Electric room Gear room Machine room Toilet New tyre storage Punctured tyre storage Old tyre storage	Existing Proposal 94 94 - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -	ASRTU Guideline 392 20 20 20 20 30 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40	Option 1 392 49 20 20 79 90 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40	<b>Option</b> 2 392 2 0 19 19 4 2 4 2 4 2 4 2 4 2 3 2 8 4 3 2 8 3 2 8 3 2 8 3 2 8 3 2 8 3 3 2 8 3 3 2 8 3 3 3 3	
BAN A 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	WANA DEPOT: WORKSHOP AREAGROUND FLOORWorkshop PitsService BaysManager roomMeeting roomTyre Resoling plant and Retreated tyreMain storeEngine roomUpholster roomPaint roomElectric roomGear roomMachine roomToiletNew tyre storagePunctured tyre storageOld tyre storageSpare parts storage	Existing Proposal 94 94 - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -	ASRTU Guideline 392 20 20 20 20 30 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40	Option 1 392 49 20 20 20 30 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40	<b>Option</b> 2 392 2 2 2 3 3 4 3 4 3 4 3 3 2 5 3 3 4 3 3 2 5 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3	

19	8 Rooms - function not defined	91	-	-	-
20	Passage/Circulation/Staircase & Lift/Walls	816	1042	1056	885
	Total Ground floor area	1138	2280	2398	2092
В	FIRST FLOOR	Existing	ASRTU	Option 1	Option
		Proposal	Guideline		2
21	Rest room	-	40	46	37
22	Toilet	12	20	19	25
23	Locker room	-	28	27	25
24	Pantry	-	16	16	16
25	8 Rooms - function not defined	91	-	-	-
26	Passage/Circulation/Staircase & Lift/Walls	75	100	92	81
	Total First floor area	178	204	200	184

Table 7: Detailed area comparison for administrative/ restroom/ toilet blocks and workshop area for Burari Depot

	BURARI DEPOT: ADMINISTRATIVE	REST ROOM &	<b>K TOILET BLOCI</b>	<
A	GROUND FLOOR	Existing Proposal	ASRTU Guideline	Option 1
1	Locker room	-	105	107
2	Canteen and kitchen	-	75	84
3	Toilet for Crew	-	29	50
4	Toilet for Staff	-	14	34
5	Driver control room	-	15	20
6	Way bill room	-	15	17
7	ETM room	-	25	28
8	Depot Manager room	-	15	21
9	Concessionaire Room	-	30	32
10	MIS room	-	30	31
11	Meeting room	-	20	15
12	Ticket room	-	-	-
13	Computer room	-	-	-
14	Room 1	-	-	-
15	Room 2	-	-	-
16	Passage/Circulation/Staircase & Lift/Walls	-	17	258
	Total Ground floor area	300	390	696
	Rest room Block			
17	Rest room	Details not	-	-
18	Canteen and kitchen	available	-	-
19	Toilet		-	-
20	Passage/Circulation/Staircase & Lift/Walls		-	-
	Total Ground floor area	114	-	-
	Toilet Block			

21	Total Ground floor area	146	-	-
В	FIRST FLOOR	Existing	ASRTU	Option 1
22	Crow ract room	Proposal	Guideline	270
22	Crew rest room	-	360	370
23		-	29	50
24	Passage/Circulation/Staircase & Lift/Walls	-	111	276
	Total First floor area	-	500	696
	Rest room Block	<b>.</b>		
25	Rest room	Details not	-	-
26	Canteen and kitchen	uvulluble	-	-
27	Toilet		-	-
28	Passage/Circulation/Staircase & Lift/Walls		-	-
	Total Ground floor area	114	-	-
С	SECOND FLOOR	Existing Proposal	ASRTU Guideline	Option 1
29	Crew rest room	-	360	370
30	Toilet	-	29	50
31	Passage/Circulation/Staircase & Lift/Walls	-	111	276
	Total Second floor area	-	500	696
BUI	RARI DEPOT: WORKSHOP AREA			
A	GROUND FLOOR	Existing Proposal	ASRTU Guideline	Option 1
1	Workshop Pits	Details not	490	490
2	Service Bays	available	-	-
3	Manager room		20	18
4	Meeting room		20	18
5	Tyre Resoling plant and Retreated tyre		76	84
6	Main store		90	91
7	Engine room		40	42
8	Upholster room		40	42
9	Paint room		40	42
10	Electric room		40	42
11	Gear room		30	32
12	Machine room		18	21
13	Toilet		16	50
14	New tyre storage		30	30
15	Punctured tyre storage		24	26
16	Old tyre storage		130	135
17	Spare parts storage		120	120
18	Oil tank storage		120	120
19	Passage/Circulation/Staircase & Lift/Walls		1286	1064
	Total Ground floor area	2071	2630	2465
	rotar Groana jioor area	-		

В	FIRST FLOOR	Existing Proposal	ASRTU Guideline	Option 1
19	Rest room	Details not	46	59
20	Toilet	available	12	50
21	Locker room		32	35
22	Pantry		17	17
23	Passage/Circulation/Staircase & Lift/Walls		93	71
	Total First floor area		200	232

Table 8: Detailed area comparison for administrative/ restroom/ toilet blocks and workshop area for East Vinod Nagar Depot

	EAST VINOD NAGAR DEPOT: ADMINIST	RATIVE REST RO	DOM & TOILET	BLOCK
Α	GROUND FLOOR	Existing	ASRTU	Option 1
4		Proposal	Guideline	90
1	Locker room	-	69	80
2	Canteen and kitchen	-	50	50
3		-	19	41
4	lollet for Staff	15	14	41
5	Driver control room	-	15	15
6	Way bill room	26	15	15
7	ETM room	22	25	24
8	Depot Manager room	-	15	30
9	Concessionaire Room	-	30	30
10	MIS room	33	30	30
11	Meeting room	-	20	30
12	Ticket room	22	-	-
13	Computer room	33	-	-
14	Room 1	22	-	-
15	Room 2	22	-	-
16	Passage/Circulation/Staircase & Lift/Walls	105	38	220
	Total Ground floor area	300	340	612
	Rest room Block			
17	Rest room	40	-	-
18	Canteen and kitchen	36	-	-
19	Toilet	28	-	-
20	Passage/Circulation/Staircase & Lift/Walls	36	-	-
	Total Ground floor area	140	-	-
	Toilet Block			
21	Total Ground floor area	92	-	-
В	FIRST FLOOR	Existing	ASRTU	Option 1
		Proposal	Guideline	
22	Depot Manager room	26	-	-

23	7 Rooms - function not defined	154	-	-
24	Crew rest room	-	300	300
25	Toilet	15	19	41
26	Passage/Circulation/Staircase & Lift/Walls	105	21	271
	Total Ground floor area	300	340	612
	Rest room Block			
27	Rest room	40	-	-
28	Terrace	36	-	-
29	Toilet	28	-	-
30	Passage/Circulation/Staircase & Lift/Walls	36	-	-
	Total First floor area	140	-	-
С	SECOND FLOOR	Existing Proposal	ASRTU Guideline	Option 1
31	Crew rest room	-	150	160
32	Toilet	-	19	41
33	Passage/Circulation/Staircase & Lift/Walls	-	31	146
	Total Second floor area	-	200	347
EAS	T VINOD NAGAR DEPOT: WORKSHOP AREA			1
Α	GROUND FLOOR	Existing	ASRTU	Option 1
		Proposal	Guideline	
1	Workshop Pits	196	343	343
2	Service Bays	147	-	-
3	Manager room	-	20	20
4	Meeting room	-	20	20
5	Tyre Resoling plant and Retreated tyre	-	59	60
6	Main store	-	90	90
/	Engine room	-	40	40
8	Uphoister room	-	40	40
9	Paint room	-	40	40
10		-	40	40
11	Machine room	-	10	20
12		- 12	10	20
13	New tyre storage	12	20	49
14	Punctured tyre storage		16	20
16		_	26	20
17	Share harts storage		120	127
19	Oil tank storage		120	120
10	8 Rooms - function not defined	- 01	120	139
20	Passage/Circulation/Staircase & Lift/Walls	645	- 1016	671
20	Total Ground floor area	1001	2120	1855
		1091	2130	1033

В	FIRST FLOOR	Existing Proposal	ASRTU Guideline	Option 1
21	Rest room	-	33	40
22	Toilet	12	12	49
23	Locker room	-	21	14
24	Pantry	-	16	-
25	8 Rooms - function not defined	91	-	-
26	Passage/Circulation/Staircase & Lift/Walls	75	118	128
	Total First floor area	178	200	231

A detailed discussion on the comparison between existing design and demonstrative designs was undertaken with all stakeholders on May 16, 2018. As part of this discussion, the reasons, along with pros and cons, for each element suggested in the demonstrative designs (as an alternative to existing design) were presented to all three consultants. As an outcome of this meeting, most of the recommendations included above were accepted by all three consultants. A detailed explanation to comments on the demonstrative designs and comparative analysis has been presented in Annexure 4.8.

# 3 Conclusion

The comparison of depot designs currently being developed for Transport Department by different consultants, with the requirements and principles, listed in the Depot design guidelines as well their comparison with sample designs (for the given sites) based on depot design guidelines, indicates at the need to revise these designs and the planning approach undertaken.

The comparison suggests that between marginal to significant augmentation of depot capacity can be achieved by adopting a more compact design approach. This approach not only reduces the number of blocks in the depot, but by combining functions in a single physical space, allows better access control and streamlined circulation. Additionally, bus circulation and bus bay arrangement (in relation to bus circulation) may not allow optimum use of parking space in the depot.

The comparison suggests that the current depot designs may not have been developed for electric buses. This is because the area allocated to sub stations is only a fraction of what is required, area for transformers has not been earmarked and sheltered electric charging units have not been shown in the designs. Instead all designs include CNG fueling units.

Comparison also suggests that depot infrastructure is planned for a functional capacity lower than the demand. For example, area allocated for crew restroom is only 1/5<sup>th</sup> of that suggested in the guidelines, and the area allocated for workshop functions is significantly lower than that suggested by ASRTU (S G Architects, 2017). Many other functions are missing, such as crew change rooms and locker rooms, workshop stores, etc. This suggests that these depots may be under designed for efficient operations.

It can be inferred from the depot designs being evaluated, that the depots may not be designed for universal designs and features such as lifts may need to be incorporated. However perhaps the biggest shortcoming of these designs may be the failure (by design) to allow access control for different functions and areas in the depot. The current designs in no way allow segregation of bus parking and private vehicle/staff parking and permits staff, crew and visitors to access any area of the site unchecked and unsupervised.

The ASRTU Depot Design Guidelines, provides recommendations to achieve higher utilization and efficiency from depot infrastructure through a specified design approach. It may be advisable to refer to these guidelines in order to improve the depot designs for the three sites of Bawana, East Vinod Nagar and Burari.

# 4 Annexures

4.1 Bawana Bus Depot (Existing proposal):



## 4.2 Burari Bus Depot Plan (Existing proposal):



4.3 East Vinod Nagar Bus Depot Plans (Existing proposal):



A





![](_page_29_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_30_Figure_0.jpeg)

NOTES THIS DRAWING IS INTELLECTUAL COPYRIGHT OF "MS PRABHAT KUMAR & ASSOCIATES" WRITTEN DIMENSION TO BE FOLLOWED, DRAWING NOT TO BE SCALED. CONTRACTOR MUST VERIFY ALL DIMENSION ON SI BEFORE COMMENCING ANY WORK. CONSTRUCTION OF CLUSTER CLUSTER BUS DEPOT AT EAST VINOD NAGAR Drg. Title TOILET BLOCK TRANSPORT DEPARTMENT GOVT. OF NCT DELHI विल्ली ह PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT DELHI thorized Signator EXECUTIVE ENGINEER FLYOVER PROJECT DIVISION F-12, PWD Checked by drawn by PRABHAT KARAN viect no. PKA/18/02 Scale Prabhat Kumar & Associates ARCHITECTURE, PLANNING & PROJECT MA ARCHITELIORE, FLANNING & PROBELI MANAGEMENT RMT-316, SF, B-2, MAHAVEERA TOWER, LSC, MMTC COLONY OPPOSITE AUROBINDO COLLEGE ENTRY GATE, NEW DELHI-110017 PH. NOS. -9868928494, E-MAIL ID -prabhat\_arch

## 4.4 Bawana Bus Depot Plans (Proposed Option 1):

![](_page_31_Figure_2.jpeg)

![](_page_32_Figure_1.jpeg)

T ADVISOR:	

![](_page_33_Figure_1.jpeg)

## 4.5 Bawana Bus Depot Plans (Proposed Option 2):

![](_page_34_Figure_2.jpeg)

1.1.1.1
-F-F-F-F-F-F-F-F-F-F-F-F-F-F-F-F-F-F-F
103
0
2000
Ţ.
SHOP
T ADVISOR:
website: www.sgarchitects.in

![](_page_35_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_36_Figure_1.jpeg)

#### 4.6 Burari Bus Depot Plans (Proposed):

![](_page_37_Figure_2.jpeg)

![](_page_38_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_39_Figure_1.jpeg)

T Advisor :	
	SGArchitects, New Delhi, website: www.sgarchitects.in

### 4.7 East Vinod Nagar Bus Depot Plans (Proposed):

![](_page_40_Figure_2.jpeg)

![](_page_41_Figure_1.jpeg)

0 SQM	
0 SQM	
AN	
	SGArchtects, New Delhi. website: www.sgarchitects.in

![](_page_42_Figure_1.jpeg)

#### 4.8 Response to Comments on Bus Depot Design Evaluation Report

The bus depot design evaluation report included clarifications on five main planning and design deficiencies through a demonstrative exercise where evaluated designs were compared against a sample design developed (for each site) based on the ASRTU guidelines. The five main design deficiencies and the commonalities in response to these by all three agencies has been presented in Table 9.

Overall it needs to be re-iterated that the designs developed for the report based on ASRTU guidelines were to allow comparison between existing designs and ASRTU guidelines-based designs and are not prescriptive but demonstrative. These are layout plans and not implementation drawings. However, designs have been developed taking in to account implementation concerns.

Most of the comments received from PWD and DSIDC do not respond to design issues raised by the reports. They are limited to implementation concerns. It has been pointed out in the response below that stakeholder requirements of higher capacity, efficiency and productivity are to be met by improved designs. Implementation, operations and maintenance strategies will need to be developed/modified as per the revised designs, and concerns based on current practices may not be valid.

#### Table 9: Broad classification of responses on key design comments

S. No.	Key comments on design	Responses
1	Bus depot is not planned for electric buses. No charging stations and no space for substation and transformers	PWD and DSIDC have not responded to this comment, while DIMTS has ra
2	Specific function area and equipment/bays provided is short of that required to meet functional requirements as per ASRTU guidelines	PWD and DSIDC has raised concerns on area requirement mismatch, howe have been included. DIMTS considers areas/functions as per ASRTU guide
3	Compact design approach and better circulation design can improve space utilization – 1 to 19 additional buses can be added, per bus area requirement can be reduced by up to 20 sqm per bus	DIMTS has pointed out that VIU requirements need to be met, while PWD response. DIMTS has doubts if G+2 SPS structures are permitted, while D G+2 SPS structure.
4	Better control and isolation of depot functions, for safety security and improved operations and management	All three agencies do not question the need for better control, but cite of based on current practices.
5	Staff comfort, convenience, policy requirements, built form efficiency.	No design concerns have been raised. All three agencies raise (possibly r disability act requirements. PWD and DIMTS appear to be concerned by pe

Detailed response to comments on the three depot design recommendations/feedback has been presented in Table 10, Table 11 and Table 12.

#### Table 10: Detailed response to comments on the design evaluation report – Bawana Depot

	Bawana Depot			
S. No	Key Design Concerns raised	Planning related reaction		Implementation related reaction
		comments received	Response	Comments received
1	Bus depot is not planned for electric buses. No charging stations and no space for substation and transformers	Administration Office area does not have		
2	Specific function area and equipment/bays provided is short of that required to meet functional requirements as per ASRTU guidelines	Administration Office area does not have sufficient accommodation as per the transport department norms for bus depots.	options. These are demonstrative options to be compared against operator/transport department design brief. Designers are free to	
		The workshop building area is very large compared to transport department guidelines. The administration office building has a very narrow frontage for conductors group in the morning and late evenings. The solution of open verandas in existing building is comparatively better.	accommodate these area requirements as per given context. However missing requirements or area requirements less than the minimum specified in ASRTU guidelines should be questioned.	
3	Compact design approach and better circulation design can improve space utilization – 19 additional buses can be added, per bus area can reduce from 164 sq.m. to 144 sq.m.			The proposed buildings are SPS type building. The bus movement creat vibration in the structure. Cracks has been reported earlier in 2 storey buildin Hence 3 storey buildings in SPS should avoided.

ised additional queries on the same

ver no details with regards to detailed area table line to be more or less correct and DSIDC has not provided any design related SIDC and PWD have implementation doubts on

operational, management and safety concerns,

management) concerns in providing lifts as per erceived form and poor aesthetics related issues.

	Response
of	Structural colutions need to be cough as nor
51	design using steel structure with filler block
le	design – using steel structure with miler block
/e	walls (and other options), using dampers,
s.	Isolators etc.
e	

	Bawana Depot				
S. No	Key Design Concerns raised	Planning related reaction		Implementation related reaction	
		comments received	Response	Comments received	Response
4	Better control and isolation of depot functions, for safety security and improved operations and management			The administration office area and crew rest areas are given in the same building. This will create avoidable disturbances to office work. Complications in usage of common conveniences are bound to arise. Solutions like passages and doors shall not solve this problem.Common building for administrative office 	Crew and administrative office access and conveniences are separate. They are functionally and physically separated. It appears that crew is considered a severe security risk which cannot be overcome by security apparatus or physical and temporal segregation – this has graver operational and organizational implications – it is not a design issue. <b>Operations, maintenance and security</b> <b>strategies need to be planned as per design</b>
	-			this. Separate staff parking entry is a security hazard. It is an additional burden for security setup.	Operations, maintenance and security strategies need to be planned as per design
5	Staff comfort, convenience, policy requirements, built form efficiency.			Lift should be avoided.	Why? Operations, maintenance and security strategies need to be planned as per design
				The buildings are placed right on the plot line. This is a security hazard. The aesthetic appearance shall be bad for surrounding areas.	Buildings have a setback of 3 to 6m from plot line (open stores are between building and plot line). Building aesthetics/facade can be designed to suit the context. <b>Operations,</b> <b>maintenance and security strategies need to</b> <b>be planned as per design</b>
				Workshop and office buildings are adjacent to each other. Another security hazard.	Workshop and office buildings are set apart by 70m. <b>Operations, maintenance and security</b> strategies need to be planned as per design
6	OTHERS			DSIIDC has already processed the tenders based on the drawings approved by transport department adhering to their guidelines for bus depots. It is not possible to change the drawings at this stage.	Transport department needs to take a call.

# Table 11: Detailed response to comments on the design evaluation report – East Vinod Nagar Depot

	East Vinod Nagar Depot				
S. No	Key Design Concerns raised	Planning related reaction		Implementation related reaction	
		comments received	Response	Comments received	Response
1	Bus depot is not planned for electric buses. No charging stations and no space for substation and transformers				
2	Specific function area and equipment/bays provided is short of that required to meet functional requirements as per ASRTU guidelines	Administration Office area does not have sufficient accommodation as per the transport department norms for bus depots. The workshop building area is very large compared to transport department guidelines. The administration office building has a very narrow frontage for conductors group in the morning and late evenings. The solution of	The report does not present prescriptive options. These are demonstrative options to be compared against operator/transport department design brief. Designers are free to accommodate these area requirements as per given context. However missing requirements or area requirements less than the minimum		

	East Vinod Nagar Depot					
S. No	Key Design Concerns raised	Design Concerns raised Planning related reaction Implementation related reaction				
		comments received	Response	Comments received	Response	
		open verandas in existing building is comparatively better.	specified in ASRTU guidelines should be questioned.			
3	Compact design approach and better circulation design can improve space utilization – 1 additional bus can be accommodated			The proposed buildings are SPS type of building. The bus movement create vibration in the structure. Cracks have been reported earlier in 2 storey buildings. Hence 3 storey buildings in SPS should be avoided.	Structural solutions need to be sough as per design – using steel structure with filler block walls (and other options), using dampers, isolators etc.	
				The external road at point at which the entry is shown is at a height of around 4 m from the site. So entry is not possible at this point. Entry should be somewhere in the middle of this side or on extreme left.	All entries are planned as per the original design prepared by PWD. If this is an issue, it may be a problem in the original design as well. Circulation and entry/exit design can be adjusted as per site requirements.	
4	Better control and isolation of depot functions, for safety security and improved operations and management			The administration office area and crew rest areas are given in the same building. This will create avoidable disturbances to office work. Complications in usage of common conveniences are bound to arise. Solutions like passages and doors shall not solve this problem.	Crew and administrative office access and conveniences are separate. They are functionally and physically separated. It appears that crew is considered a severe security risk which cannot be overcome by security apparatus or physical and temporal segregation – this has graver operational and organizational implications – it is not a design issue. <b>Operations, maintenance and security</b> <b>strategies need to be planned as per design</b>	
				Common building for administrative office and crew rest area is security hazard for office. Design has not paid any attention to this.		
				Separate staff parking entry is a security hazard. It is an additional burden for security setup.	Operations, maintenance and security strategies need to be planned as per design	
5	Staff comfort, convenience, policy requirements, built form efficiency.			Lift should be avoided.	Why? Operations, maintenance and security strategies need to be planned as per design	
				The buildings are placed right on the plot line. This is a security hazard. The aesthetic appearance shall be bad for surrounding areas.	Buildings have a setback of 3 to 6m from plot line (open stores are between building and plot line). Building aesthetics/facade can be designed to suit the context. <b>Operations,</b> <b>maintenance and security strategies need to</b> <b>be planned as per design</b>	
				Workshop and office buildings are adjacent to each other. Another security hazard.	Workshop and office buildings are set apart by 25m. Operations, maintenance and security strategies need to be planned as per design	
6	OTHERS			DSIIDC has already processed the tenders based on the drawings approved by transport department adhering to their guidelines for bus depots. It is not possible to change the drawings at this stage.	Transport department needs to take a call.	

	East Vinod Nagar Depot				
S. No	Key Design Concerns raised	Planning related reaction		Implementation related reaction	
		comments received	Response	Comments received	Response
				Building aesthetics/facade can be	Façade can be designed as per context.
				designed to suit the context.	
				Roofing of workshop and administration	
				buildings are different. High roof of	
				workshop will not look good with	
				administration building roof.	

#### Table 12: Detailed response to comments on the design evaluation report – Burari Depot

	Burari Depot				
S. No	Key Design Concerns raised	Planning related reaction		Implementation related reaction	
		comments received	Response	Comments received	Response
1	Bus depot is not planned for electric buses. No charging stations and no space for substation and transformers	Charging arrangement to be checked - if batteries to be charged, or buses to be charged, location of charging point in buses if bus to be charged,	Charging technology assumed is bus charging and not battery swapping. Transport department to take a call on charging technology.	Buses and charging points in front of the Transformers & ESS area are not advisable,	This is an implementation issue and adequate fire safety barriers may be incorporated after discussion with the Discoms in the interest of better space utilization.
		Buses and charging points in front of the Transformers & ESS area are not advisable,	As per Discoms, the three electrical requirements, i.e. substation, transformers and charging equipment can be separate connected by necessary electrical wires. Sub stations and transformers need to be accessed by Discom staff. Designs are not prescriptive, these may be modified to meet specific Discom requirements.	<ul> <li>Cable trenches/ routes have not been shown.</li> <li>Street Lights poles/ High Masts have not been shown for area lighting,</li> <li>Sufficient space is required to be provided along the boundary wall for laying of Fire Pipes [Ring Main] and Fire Hose Cabinets/ cables,</li> </ul>	These are design detailing and implementation issues. Current gap between buses or bus and boundary wall is 2.5m. Size of charging equipment is 0.5m X 0.5m, it is expected that these considerations can be accommodated in the current designs also. Additionally, designs are not prescriptive. Operators may plan the designs in order to meet all key requirements.
		M/s SGA may please explain the basis of providing 607sqm area for the Substation [for Bus Charging infrastructure]	Approximate area requirement of a substation of electric bus depot with a capacity in the range of 150 buses is 600 sq.m. as per NDPL sources. This has been discussed by Discoms with transport department in a separate meeting according to this source. This may be cross checked officially with Discoms by transport department.		
		One Bus charging points has been shown for each bus parking bay along the boundary whereas only 50 Bus charging points have been shown for 88 buses in the middle area parking bays [including VIU area]. Needs to be re-checked,	Location of charging stations have been shown in proximity to every bus. This is a planning requirement and not an equipment requirement. Equipment may be placed as per equipment specifications at every bus bay or at alternate bays, etc. However, plan should builds in requirements for all types of equipment.		
2	Specific function area and equipment/bays provided is short of that required to meet functional requirements as per ASRTU guidelines	<b>VIU Area</b> - This area pertains to the inspection unit for TSR and shall be used only for parking of buses. In day time it will exclusively use as vehicle inspection unit for which workshop way, workshop office and other allied facilities would be required,	In the demonstrative design, this area does not accommodate specific requirements for VIU. These will need to be accommodated as required by the planner. A washing unit was added here so that operationally buses could be segregated at night, based on service	Workshop - The slope of ramp to the pit may be checked.	Slopes are 1:12. These may be detailed during the design detailing stage. The demonstrative designs are for planning comparison purposes only.

S. No         Key Design Concerns raised         Parting related reaction         Persponse         Comments rescued           S. No         Key Design Concerns raised         Persponse         Design Concerns raised         Comments rescued         Comments rescued           S. No         Key Design Concerns raised         Persponse         Persponse         Comments rescued         Comments rescued           S. No         Key Design Concerns raised         Persponse         Persponse         Comments rescued         Comments rescued           Vill Area - Some guard random on groups calls         Featurement for subs raise the torus           Vill Area - Some guard road consert groups raise         Featurement for subs raise the torus         Featurement for subs raise torus         Featurement for subs raise		Burari Depot			
Image: state in the state in thestate in the state in the state in the state in the st	S. No	Key Design Concerns raised Planning related reaction			Implementation related reaction
3         Compact: doign approach and better spring: compact doign approach and better univaliable.         Output applice spring by univaliable.         Retromes shown in the demonstrative designs are dominative doign approach in the spring by approach and better constration doign approach and better condubet better bases con added, per bases can reduce from			comments received	Response	Comments received
3         Compact design approach and better croubles design croubles			VIU Area - General facilities for operational	requirement. Buses which do not require	
3         Compact design approach and better circulation design can produce may be available in any casa.         Restrooms shown in the demonstrative designs are dominationally in approach of buses located at VU           3.         Compact design approach and better circulation design can propertion of buses seems okay in Layout         Restrooms shown in the demonstrative designs are domination as perfections, performance added, per bus area can reduce from 164 statume to 194 statume of buses seems okay in Layout           3.         Compact design approach and better circulation design can improve space wailable buses can buse buses for buses seems okay in Layout         Restrooms shown in the demonstrative designs are domination as perfections where does in the perfection where the individuent of the very buse design are domination as perfections approach and better circulation design can perfect on the very buse design approach and better dustrictionality. Some of the bays from the statume of planning again. In the design approach and better circulation design can perfect on the very buse design approach and better dustrictionality. Some of the bays from the statume of planning again. In 24 Sagn.           3.         Compact design approach and better circulation design can and may be store of buses seems okay in Layout statume to buse for the bays from buse and the complete segnation and the perfect on shown in the demonstrative designs are domination approach and better circulation design can improve space waitable better between the very bays and the design approach and better circulation design can improve space waitable better between the very bays and better waitable better between the very bays and better circulation design can improve space waitable better between the sery design approach and better circulation design can improve space			staff and other general public seems	servicing, can park on the VIU site, and they	
3         Compact design approach and better crudition of galaxing bay into the implicit of cabcing bay into the implicit on the impl			unavailable,	may be washed here, without the need to	
3         Compact design approach and better cruciation of participant of possis of participants approach and better cruciation of participants of participants of participants approach and better cruciation of participants of partipants of participants of participants of participants o			for auto rickshaws and provisioning it at centre	rotate them between two sites. This is also	
3         Compact design approach and better circulation of public points         Compact design approach and better circulation of buses seems okay in Layout         Rearrows tabus to public points         Rearrows tabus to public points           3         Compact design approach and better circulation - 9 additional buses can be added, per bus srea can reduce from 164 added, per bus srea can reduce from 164 added so per safety state for charging and addition of buses seems okay in Layout plan         Bus parking bay size needs to be mentioned and hereafty state for charging and additional contexter charging additional contexter parking additional contexter for additional contexter parking bay size needs to be mentioned and thereafty can reduce for additional contexter for additional contexter for additional contexter parking bay size needs to be charging and additional contexter parking bay size needs to be mentioned and thereafty can buse can be additioned to charging additional contexter parking bay size needs to be mentioned and thereafty can buse can be additioned to charging additional contexter parking bay size needs to be mentioned and thereafty charging station.			is not advisable in any case.	because the number of buses located at VIU	
3         Compact design approach and better circulation - 9 additional bases are divided as parking bays size needs to be negative parking with parking bays size needs to be mentioned additional coulters are negative parking bays are a 5m X 12m as per 45VU.         However, this may be coulted and functional requirements for parking bays becapitand base entry exit, may raise and bay be could bar per parking bays are a for the size of the si			VIU Area - Some guard room or check post at	site, meet the requirement of one washing bay.	
3         Compact design approach and better circulation of busice seems okay in Layout         Compact design approach and better circulation of busice seems okay in Layout         Restroams shown on the days are 3.5m 12m as per family participation of busice seems okay in Layout           3         Compact design approach and better circulation - 9 addition of busice seems okay in Layout         Circulation of busice seems okay in Layout         Number of basis normality requirement in mind, design approach and better circulation of busice seems okay in Layout         Number of basis normality requirement of safet design approach and better circulation of busice seems okay in Layout         Rest Rooms should be for ormality requirement of safet design approach and better circulation of busice seems okay in Layout         Rest Rooms should be completed safet design approach and better circulation of busice seems okay in Layout         Rest approach and better circulation of busice seems okay in Layout         Rest approach and better circulation of busice seems okay in Layout         Number of basis normality requirement for VU.           3         Compact design approach and better circulation of busice seems okay in Layout         Circulation of busice seems okay in Layout         Bus parking bay size needs to be mentioned and design can improve space utilization of busice seems okay in Layout         Bus parking bay size needs to be mentioned and design can improve space utilization of busice seems okay in Layout plan         Statis parking bay size needs to be mentioned and design can improve space utilization of busice seems okay in Layout plan         Statis parking bay size needs to be mentioned and design can improve space utilization e design can improve space utilizat			entry/exit gates should be planned,	However, this may be omitted if it clashes with	
3         Compact design approach and better circulation - 9 additional busces and parking area unavailable.         Compact design approach and better circulation - 9 additional busces and additional contaxily requirements and bits provided by approach and thereaffer chacked, if additional contaxily requirements and busces additional contextual reprovide busces and busces and deriver busces and contaxily requirements approach additional contextual reprovide busces may be provided as parking bay size needs to be mentioned and thereaffer chacked, if additional contextual reprovided basing may be contextual reprovided by a contextual additional contextual reprovides and provided basing may be advised by a contextual reprovided by a contextual additional contextual reprovides and provided by a contextual additional contextual reprovides and provided by a contextual additional contextual reprovides and busces and busces and busces added, per tosts area can reduce from 164 and thereaffer chacked, if additional contextual reprovides approach and thereaffer chacked, if additional contextual reprovides approach and thereaffer chacked, if additional contextual reprovided basin may be added, per tosts area can reduce from 164 asparking bay size needs to be mentioned and thereaffer chacked, if additional contextual repr				functional requirement for VIU.	
3         Compact design approach and better circulation - 9 additional bost can regive a better quantion - 9 additional bost can be planned after consulting. Conductors of the maxy kindly be re-planned.         Restrooms shown in the demonstrative designs are dormitories and not individual rooms. Instead of one common dormitory, multiple dormitories and house completely segregated the non-minimum segretice of the segretice planned after consulting conductors.           3         Compact design approach and better circulation - 9 additional bost can reduce from 164 sq.m. to 144 sq.m.         Circulation of buses seems okay in Layout planned bay size needs to be mention and threadby the re-planned.         Restrooms shown in the demonstrative designs are dormitories and not individual rooms. Instead of one common dormitory, multiple dormitories are shown in order to allow any classification/segregation as per facilities, ge, gender, semonto dormitory, multiple dormitories are shown in order to allow any classification/segregation the minimum included in the ASRTU guideline.           3         Compact design approach and better circulation - 9 additional bost can reduce from 164 sq.m. to 144 sq.m.         Circulation of buses seems okay in Layout planned by size needs to be charping and threadby size needs to be charping and threadby size needs to be charping and threadby area as preferred allong the same shown in the desping and circulation of buses seems okay in Layout planned by size needs to be mentioned and threadby threadby size needs to be mentioned and threadby charping by size needs to be mentioned and threadby charping basis.         Search and be same and size requirement as part size area dormitories and not individual rooms. Instead of one common dormitory. Designers should be free to provide bays in line washing between buses to to charping an shown with			The functioning of Admin has a few extrovert	Included designs are only demonstrative, as	
3         Compact design approach and better cutilization - 9 additional types in previous distribution of the minimum requirements (in addition to the minimum may be incaporated by adjusting as per specific perator and site requirements.           3         Compact design approach and better utilization - 9 additional thus set on square an arguing basis specific perator and set on the parking basis on the minimum may be incaporated by adjusting as per specific perator and site requirements.           3         Compact design approach and better utilization - 9 additional buses can reduce from 164 square.         Creculation of buses seems okay in Layout parking bay size needs to be mentioned and hereafter the concelling delayes are and thereafter the concelling bay size needs to be mentioned and hereafter there concelling delayes are and thereafter there concelling delayes are and thereafter thereafter thereafter and thereafter thereafter thereafter and thereafter thereafter thereafter and thereafter thereafter to the thereafter and thereafter thereafter and thereafter thereafter to thereafter and thereafter thereafter and thereafter thereafter to the thereafter and thereafter thereafter thereafter and thereafter thereafter and thereafter thereafter to thereafter			operations wherein counters are made on the	per minimum or expected requirements based	
3         Compact design approach and better system of 14 sym.         Compact design approach and better system of			operational staff may be required to stand in	on ASRTU guidelines. Such specific	
3         Compact design approach and better criculation design can improve space do be mentioned again, as y have to be assessed again, as ot many female staff is expected.         may be incorporated by adjusting as per specific operator and site requirements.           8         Compact design approach and better criculation design can improve space do be specific operator and site requirements.         Restrooms should be completely segregated compact design approach and better criculation of buses seems okay in Layout         Restrooms should be free to provide basy may not have pits on the workshop have been provide approach and better criculation design can improve space do buses seems okay in Layout           3         Compact design approach and better uitized focal for buses seems okay in Layout additional be free to scored basy ser needs to be mentioned and harbinafter chocked; if adoquate space for an adherbinafter chocked; if adoquate space for particulation design can improve space of additional buses can be added, per bus area can reduce from 164         Washing area was preferred along it boundary wall for asse of particulation and harbinafter chocked; if adoquate space for an adherbinafter chocked; if adoquate space for an additioner chocked; if adoquate space for an addith chore for the space for provi			queue outside. These counters planned near	requirements (in addition to the minimum)	
3         Compact design approach and better riculation design can improve space agam. to 144 sg.m.         Compact design approach and better plane provide space and between base and between bases for the space base space for the space base space for plane space s			bus entry/ exit, may raise the safety concern.	may be incorporated by adjusting as per	
3         Compact design approach and better circulation design can improve space utilization - 9 additional buses can be added, per bus area can reduce from 164 sq.m. to 144 sq.m.         Circulation of buses seems okay in Layout planned to buses to the means for planned as to the means for planned south between buses for charging and therefore the space of the space to the means for planned added, per bus area can reduce from 164 sq.m. to 144 sq.m.         Circulation of buses seems okay in Layout planned to buses to the means for planned available between buses for charging         Restroms should be means for planned to the space of the space of the space of the space planned to buses to the space of the space of the space seems of the space of the space of the space of the space planned to the space of the space of the space of the space planned to the space of the space of the space of the space planned to the space of the space of the space of the space of the space planned to the space of			This may kindly be re-planned,	specific operator and site requirements.	
3         Compact design approach and better circulation design can improve space utilization - 9 additional buses can be added, per bus area can reduce from 144 sq.m.         Circulation of buses seems okay in Layout Bus parking bay size needs to be mentioned available between buses for charging station.         Restrooms shown in the demonstrative designs are dominative as a parking bay size needs to be mentioned available between buses for charging station.         Restrooms shown in the demonstrative designs are dominative as a parking bay size needs to be mentioned available between buses for charging station.			Toilet facilities may have to be assessed		
3         Compact design approach and better circulation design can improve space utilization - 9 additional buses can sqm. to 144 sqm.         Circulation of buses seems okay in Layout plan.         Restroments to be mentioned and thereafter checked; if adequate space plan.         Restroments to be addition of the main of the space provide space space provide space space provide space space space provide space space space space provide space space space space space provide space space space space space provide space space space space space space space provide space space space space space space space provide space space space space space space space space provide space space space space space space space space provide space space space space space space space space space space provide space space space space space space space space space provide space space space space space space space space space provide space space space space space space space space space space provide space space space space space space space space space provide space			bence minimum facilities for females could be		
3       Compact design approach and better circulation design can improve space utilization - 9 additional buses can be addeed, per bus area can reduce from 164 sq.m.       Circulation of buses seems okay in Layout basis, hence needs to be mentioned by size needs to be address and thereafter chocked; if adequate space is an ASTU guidelines and size of the basis, hence need to constrained by size needs to be mentioned by additional by size needs to be mentioned by additioned between the basis, hence need to constrained by size needs to be mentioned by additenes area near duced form			planned after consulting client.		
3         Compact design approach and better circulation design can improve space utilization - 9 additional buses can be added, per bus area can reduce from 164 sq.m. to 144 sq.m.         Circulation of buses seems okay in Layout plan         Circulation of buses seems okay in Layout plan         Restraining buy size needs to be mentioned and hereafter checked; if adequate space is are domitories and not individual rooms.         Washing area was preferred along it bus parking bay size needs to be mentioned and hereafter checked; if adequate space is and alticulated buses can be advisable be three more of charging and alticulated space 3.5m X 12m as per ASVV an ASRTU guidelines.			If female crew is expected then all the facilities		
3         Compact design approach and better circulation 4 design can improve space utilization - 9 additional buses can be added, per bus are can reduce from 164 s.g.m. to 144 sg.m.         Circulation of buses seems okay in Layout plan         Circulation of buses seems okay in Layout plan         Circulation of buses seems okay in Layout plan         Sus parking bay size needs to be ementioned available between buses for charging         Bus parking bay size needs to be ementioned an ASRTU guidelines         Washing area was preferred along to washing station next to charging available between buses for charging			pertaining to resting/washrooms etc., on the		
3         Compact design approach and better circulation design can improve space and design can improve space can reduce from 164 sq.m.         Circulation of buses seems okay in Layout plan         Refer to be reading by size needs to be mentioned by space and multifunctionality is supported by analysize needs to be mentioned and thereafter checked; if adequate space is available between buses for charging         Refer to be charging approach and better circulation design can improve space and individual can be compared by size needs to be mentioned and betwere buses for charging         Refer to be charging approach and better circulation of buses seems okay in Layout plan         Refer to be reading by size needs to be mentioned and thereafter othecked; if adequate space is available between buses for charging         Number of pits in proportion of buses seems okay in Layout plan         Number of pits in proportion of buses seems okay in Layout plan         Number of pits in proportion of buses seems okay in Layout plan         Number of pits in proportion of buses seems okay in Layout plan         Number of pits in proportion of buses seems okay in Layout plan         Number of pits in proportion of buses seems okay in Layout plan         Number of pits in proportion of buses seems okay in Layout plan         Number of pits in proportion of buses seems okay in Layout plan         Number of pits in proportion of buses seems okay in Layout plan         Number of pits in proportion of buses seems okay in Layout plan         Number of pits in proportion of buses seems okay in Layout plan         Number of pits in proportion of buses seems okay in Layout plan         Number of pits in proportion of buses			upper floors should be completely segregated		
3       Compact design approach and better circulation design can improve space added, per bus area can reduce from 164 s.g.m. to 144 sg.m.       Circulation of buses seems okay in Layout plan       Number of buses seems okay in Layout plan       Number of buses seems okay in Layout plan       Washing area was preferred along to washing station next to charging station.         3       Compact design approach and better circulation design can improve space added, per bus area can reduce from 166 s.g.m. to 144 sg.m.       Circulation of buses seems okay in Layout plan       Bus parking bay size needs to be mentioned added uses for charging available between buses for charging available between buses for charging       Bus parking bays are 3.5m X 12m as per ASVV an ASRTU guidelines       Washing area generally required a distribution at the charging station next to charging station.			like Hostel facility,	Destruction of a second state of a second state of a size of	
3       Compact design approach and better circulation design can improve space added, per bus area can reduce from 164 ag.       Circulation of buses seems okay in Layout plan       Circulation of buses seems okay in Layout plan       Washing area was preferred along the source of the additional to additional the space of the buse seems okay in Layout plan         3       Compact design approach and better circulation design can improve space addition design can improve space additional buses can be additional buses for charging       Bus parking bays ize needs to be mentioned an ASRTU guidelines         3       Bus parking bay size needs to be mentioned and thereafter checked; if adequate space is available between buses for charging       Bus parking bays are 3.5m X 12m as per ASVV an ASRTU guidelines			rather than independent rooms	Restrooms shown in the demonstrative designs	
3       Compact design approach and better circulation definition definiti definition definition defini				are dormitories and not individual rooms.	
3       Compact design approach and better circulation of buses seems okay in Layout       Circulation of buses seems okay in Layout       Number of buses seems okay in Layout       Number of buses seems okay in Layout         3       Compact design approach and better circulation design can improve space utilization - 2 additional buses can be added, per bus area can reduce from 164 addition of buses seems okay in Layout       Circulation of buses seems okay in Layout plan       Washing area was preferred along it how any oth additional contextual sequirements in mind, designers should be free to provide bays in line with ASRTU guidelines.         3       Compact design approach and better circulation design can improve space utilization - 9 additional buses can be addited from the cancel to be addresses of the additional contextual sequirement in mind, designers should be free to provide bays in line with ASRTU guidelines.         3       Bus parking bay size needs to be mentioned and thereafter checked; if adequate space for charging station next to charging station         added, per bus area can reduce from 164       Bus parking bays size needs to be mentioned and thereafter checked; if adequate space is available between buses for charging       Bus parking bays are 3.5m X 12m as per ASVV       The bus depots are generally required to generally and metafter checked; if adequate space is available between buses for chargin				dermitories are shown in order to allow any	
3       Compact design approach and better circulation design can improve space to reduce from 164 sq.m. to 144 sq.m.       Circulation of buses seems okay in Layout additional contextual to be address for charging station next to charging station next to charging station       Washing area was preferred along the additional context context of the additional context context context of the additional context context of the additional context conte				classification (sogragation as per facilities, ago	
3       Compact design approach and better circulation design can improve space dutilization - 9 additional buses can be added, per bus area can reduce from 164 sq.m.       Circulation of buses seems okay in Layout plan       Refer table 7 of the report these are included. Designers should feel free to accommodate any additional contextual requirements apart from the minimum included in the ASRTU guidelines. All bays have been provided as per ASRTU guidelines. All bays have been shown with pits to allow multifunctionality. Some of the bays may not have pits, and multifunctionality requirement in mind, designers should be free to provide bays in line with ASRTU guidelines.         3       Compact design approach and better circulation design can improve space utilization - 9 additional buses can be added, per bus area can reduce from 164 sq.m.       Circulation of buses seems okay in Layout plan       Washing area was preferred along it boundary wall, for ease of drainage etc. present it is in the centre. Pump Room at Tank provision etc. needs to be advisable to ha washing station next to charging station.         Bus parking bay size needs to be mentioned and thereafter checked; if adequate space is available between buses for charging       Bus parking bays are 3.5m X 12m as per ASVU and spinot the constructed on priority. Therefore S				classification/segregation as per facilities, age,	
3       Compact design approach and better circulation design can improve space utilization - 9 additional buses can be added, per bus area can reduce from 164 sq.m.       Circulation of buses seems okay in Layout parking bay size needs to be mentioned and thereafter checked; if adequate space is available between buses for charging       Refer table 701 the report times are 1/http://times.are 3.5m X 12m as per ASVV an ASRTU guidelines.			It appears to be okay in terms of planning, except the space for POL and storage for tyre etc.	Befor table 7 of the report these are included	
etc.       additional contextual requirements apart from the minimum included in the ASRTU guideline.         Number of pits in proportion of buses may be re-assessed, open repair bays for painting etc., seems un-available,       Number of bays in the workshop have been provided as per ASRTU guidelines. All bays have been shown with pits to allow multifunctionality. Some of the bays may not have pits, and multifunctionality is supported by portable lifts or other means. Keeping multifunctionality requirement in mind, designers should be free to provide bays in line with ASRTU guidelines.         3       Compact design approach and better circulation design can improve space utilization - 9 additional buses can be added, per bus area can reduce from 164 sq.m. to 144 sq.m.       Circulation of buses seems okay in Layout plan       Washing area was preferred along the boundary wall, for ease of drainage etc. present it is in the centre. Pump Room au Tank provision next to charging station.         Bus parking bay size needs to be mentioned and thereafter checked; if adequate space is available between buses for charging       Bus parking bays are 3.5m X 12m as per ASVV an ASRTU guidelines       The bus depots are generally required of urgent basis, hence need to to constructed on priority. Therefore S				Designers should feel free to accommodate any	
3       Compact design approach and better circulation - 9 additional buses can be added, per bus area can reduce from 164       Circulation of buses seems okay in Layout plan       Number of buses seems okay in Layout plan       Washing area was preferred along the source of the bays in line with ASRTU guidelines.         3       Compact design approach and better circulation - 9 additional buses can be added, per bus area can reduce from 164       Circulation of buses seems okay in Layout plan       Washing area was preferred along the boundary wall, for ease of drainage etc.         Bus parking bay size needs to be mentioned and thereafter checked; if adequate space is available between buses for charging       Bus parking bay size needs to be mentioned and thereafter checked; if adequate space is available between buses for charging       Bus parking bays are 3.5m X 12m as per ASVV       The bus depots are generally required of urgent basis, hence need to buse constructed on priority. Therefore SI				additional contextual requirements apart from	
3       Compact design approach and better circulation of buses seems okay in Layout plan       Circulation of buses seems okay in Layout plan       Vumber of bays in the workshop have been provided as per ASRTU guidelines. All bays have been shown with pits to allow multifunctionality. Some of the bays may not have pits, and multifunctionality is supported by portable lifts or other means. Keeping multifunctionality requirement in mind, designers should be free to provide bays in line with ASRTU guidelines.         3       Compact design approach and better circulation of buses seems okay in Layout plan       Circulation of buses seems okay in Layout plan       Washing area was preferred along the boundary wall, for ease of drainage etc. present it is in the centre. Pump Room an Tank provision etc. needs to be advisable to be available between buses for charging       Bus parking bay size needs to be mentioned and thereafter checked; if adequate space is available between buses for charging       Bus parking bays size needs to be mentioned available between buses for charging       Bus parking bays are 3.5m X 12m as per ASVV       The bus depots are generally required of constructed on priority. Therefore S				the minimum included in the ASBTU guideline	
3       Compact design approach and better circulation design can improve space utilization - 9 additional buses can be added, per bus area can reduce from 164 sq.m.       Circulation of buses seems okay in Layout plan       Circulation of buses seems okay in Layout plan       Washing area was preferred along the other sector of the bays in line with ASRTU guidelines.         Bus parking bay size needs to be mentioned and thereafter checked; if adequate space is available between buses for charging       Bus parking bay size needs to be mentioned and thereafter checked; if adequate space is available between buses for charging       Bus parking bays size needs to be mentioned and thereafter checked; if adequate space is available between buses for charging       Bus parking bays size needs to be mentioned and thereafter checked; if adequate space is available between buses for charging       Bus parking bays size needs to be mentioned and thereafter checked; if adequate space is available between buses for charging       Bus parking bays are 3.5m X 12m as per ASVV       The bus depots are generally required on priority. Therefore S			Number of pits in proportion of buses may be	Number of bays in the workshon have been	
<ul> <li>etc., seems un-available,</li> <li>etc., seems un-available,</li> <li>etc., seems un-available,</li> <li>etc., seems un-available,</li> <li>been shown with pits to allow multifunctionality. Some of the bays may not have pits, and multifunctionality is supported by portable lifts or other means. Keeping multifunctionality requirement in mind, designers should be free to provide bays in line with ASRTU guidelines.</li> <li>Compact design approach and better circulation design can improve space utilization - 9 additional buses can be added, per bus area can reduce from 164 sq.m.</li> <li>Bus parking bay size needs to be mentioned and thereafter checked; if adequate space is available between buses for charging</li> <li>Bus parking bays are 3.5m X 12m as per ASVV urgent basis, hence need to be addresse for charging</li> </ul>			re-assessed, open repair bays for painting	provided as per ASRTU guidelines. All have have	
3       Compact design approach and better circulation design can improve space utilization - 9 additional buses can be added, per bus area can reduce from 164 sq.m.       Circulation of buses seems okay in Layout plan       Mass parking bay size needs to be mentioned and thereafter checked; if adequate space is available between buses for charging       Bus parking bay size needs to be mentioned and thereafter checked; if adequate space is available between buses for charging       Bus parking bay size needs to be mentioned and thereafter checked; if adequate space is available between buses for charging       Bus parking bays are 3.5m X 12m as per ASVV and SRTU guidelines       The bus depots are generally required or constructed on priority. Therefore S			etc., seems un-available,	been shown with pits to allow	
3       Compact design approach and better circulation design can improve space utilization - 9 additional buses can be added, per bus area can reduce from 164 sq.m.       Circulation of buses seems okay in Layout plan       Vashing area can reduce from 164 sq.m.       Washing area was preferred along tt boundary wall, for ease of drainage etc. present it is in the centre. Pump Room and Tank provision etc. needs to be addresse added, per bus area can reduce from 164 sq.m.       Bus parking bay size needs to be mentioned and thereafter checked; if adequate space is available between buses for charging       Bus parking bay size needs to be mentioned and thereafter checked; if adequate space is available between buses for charging       Bus parking bay size needs to be mentioned and thereafter checked; if adequate space is available between buses for charging       Bus parking bay size needs to be mentioned and thereafter checked; if adequate space is available between buses for charging       Bus parking bay size needs to be mentioned and thereafter checked; if adequate space is available between buses for charging       Bus parking bays are 3.5m X 12m as per ASVV       The bus depots are generally required or constructed on priority. Therefore S				multifunctionality. Some of the bays may not	
3       Compact design approach and better circulation design can improve space utilization - 9 additional buses can be added, per bus area can reduce from 164 sq.m.       Circulation of buses seems okay in Layout plan       Circulation of buses seems okay in Layout plan       Washing area was preferred along the boundary wall, for ease of drainage etc. present it is in the centre. Pump Room an Tank provision etc. needs to be addresse Also it may not be advisable to har washing station next to charging station.         Bus parking bay size needs to be mentioned and thereafter checked; if adequate space is available between buses for charging       Bus parking bays are 3.5m X 12m as per ASVV urgent basis, hence need to the constructed on priority. Therefore S				have pits, and multifunctionality is supported	
3       Compact design approach and better circulation design can improve space utilization - 9 additional buses can be added, per bus area can reduce from 164 sq.m.       Circulation of buses seems okay in Layout plan       Washing area was preferred along the boundary wall, for ease of drainage etc. present it is in the centre. Pump Room an Tank provision etc. needs to be addresse Also it may not be advisable to har washing station next to charging station.         Bus parking bay size needs to be mentioned and thereafter checked; if adequate space is available between buses for charging       Bus parking bays are 3.5m X 12m as per ASVV an ASRTU guidelines       The bus depots are generally required on priority. Therefore SU				by portable lifts or other means. Keeping	
3       Compact design approach and better circulation design can improve space utilization - 9 additional buses can be added, per bus area can reduce from 164 sq.m.       Circulation of buses seems okay in Layout plan       Washing area was preferred along the boundary wall, for ease of drainage etc. present it is in the centre. Pump Room and Tank provision etc. needs to be addressed addressed and thereafter checked; if adequate space is available between buses for charging       Bus parking bay size needs to be mentioned and thereafter checked; if adequate space is available between buses for charging       Bus parking bays are 3.5m X 12m as per ASVV an ASRTU guidelines       The bus depots are generally required or urgent basis, hence need to prevent to priority. Therefore Stores for charging				multifunctionality requirement in mind.	
3       Compact design approach and better circulation design can improve space utilization - 9 additional buses can be added, per bus area can reduce from 164 sq.m.       Circulation of buses seems okay in Layout plan       With ASRTU guidelines.       Washing area was preferred along the boundary wall, for ease of drainage etc. present it is in the centre. Pump Room an Tank provision etc. needs to be addresse Also it may not be advisable to har washing station next to charging station.         Bus parking bay size needs to be mentioned and thereafter checked; if adequate space is available between buses for charging       Bus parking bay size needs to be mentioned and thereafter checked; if adequate space is available between buses for charging       Bus parking bays are 3.5m X 12m as per ASVV constructed on priority. Therefore States				designers should be free to provide bays in line	
3       Compact design approach and better circulation design can improve space utilization - 9 additional buses can be added, per bus area can reduce from 164 sq.m.       Circulation of buses seems okay in Layout plan       Washing area was preferred along the boundary wall, for ease of drainage etc. present it is in the centre. Pump Room an Tank provision etc. needs to be addressee Also it may not be advisable to har washing station next to charging station.         Bus parking bay size needs to be mentioned and thereafter checked; if adequate space is available between buses for charging       Bus parking bays are 3.5m X 12m as per ASVV an ASRTU guidelines       The bus depots are generally required on priority. Therefore Store and to priority. Therefore Store				with ASRTU guidelines.	
circulation design can improve space utilization - 9 additional buses can be added, per bus area can reduce from 164 sq.m. to 144 sq.m.planboundary wall, for ease of drainage etc. present it is in the centre. Pump Room an Tank provision etc. needs to be addresse Also it may not be advisable to har washing station next to charging station.Bus parking bay size needs to be mentioned and thereafter checked; if adequate space is available between buses for chargingBus parking bays are 3.5m X 12m as per ASVV an ASRTU guidelinesThe bus depots are generally required on constructed on priority. Therefore Store	3	Compact design approach and better	Circulation of buses seems okay in Layout	-	Washing area was preferred along the
utilization - 9 additional buses can be added, per bus area can reduce from 164 sq.m. to 144 sq.m.present it is in the centre. Pump Room and Tank provision etc. needs to be addressed Also it may not be advisable to har washing station next to charging station.Bus parking bay size needs to be mentioned and thereafter checked; if adequate space is available between buses for chargingBus parking bays are 3.5m X 12m as per ASVV an ASRTU guidelinesThe bus depots are generally required of urgent basis, hence need to I constructed on priority. Therefore Store		circulation design can improve space	plan		boundary wall, for ease of drainage etc.
added, per bus area can reduce from 164 sq.m.       added, per bus area can reduce from 164 sq.m.       I ank provision etc. heeds to be addressed Also it may not be advisable to har washing station next to charging station.         Bus parking bay size needs to be mentioned and thereafter checked; if adequate space is available between buses for charging       Bus parking bays are 3.5m X 12m as per ASVV urgent basis, hence need to I constructed on priority. Therefore State		utilization - 9 additional buses can be			present it is in the centre. Pump Room al
sq.m. to 144 sq.m.       Bus parking bay size needs to be mentioned and thereafter checked; if adequate space is available between buses for charging       Bus parking bays are 3.5m X 12m as per ASVV as per ASVV urgent basis, hence need to an ASRTU guidelines		added, per bus area can reduce from 164			Also it may not be advisable to be
Bus parking bay size needs to be mentioned and thereafter checked; if adequate space is available between buses for charging       Bus parking bays are 3.5m X 12m as per ASVV and Series ASVV buses for charging       The bus depots are generally required on urgent basis, hence need to the constructed on priority. Therefore Series Available between buses for charging		sq.m. to 144 sq.m.			washing station next to charging station
Bus parking bay size needs to be mentioned and thereafter checked; if adequate space is available between buses for chargingBus parking bays are 3.5m X 12m as per ASVV an ASRTU guidelinesThe bus depots are generally required urgent basis, hence need to constructed on priority. Therefore S					
and thereafter checked; if adequate space is available between buses for charging an ASRTU guidelines urgent basis, hence need to I constructed on priority. Therefore St			Bus parking bay size needs to be mentioned	Bus parking bays are 3.5m X 12m as per ASVV	The bus depots are generally required of
available between buses for charging constructed on priority. Therefore S			and thereafter checked; if adequate space is	an ASRTU guidelines	urgent basis, hence need to I
			available between buses for charging		constructed on priority. Therefore S

	Response
е	Designs are not prescriptive – best
at	arrangement for increasing space use
d	efficiency and operations may be adopted.
ג. פי	Drainage and other concerns can also be
C	addressed through design detailing
n	As per sources in transport department, G+2
e	structures can qualify as SPS. This is based on
S	the experience from education department.

	Burari Depot				
S. No	Key Design Concerns raised	Planning related reaction		Implementation related reaction	
		comments received	Response	Comments received	Response
		process. Charging arrangement to be checked		construction is adopted to save preconstruction time by not obtaining the approval of statutory authorities. Double story SPS building is generally adopted based on the previous practices being adopted by Delhi Transport Corporation in	Additionally – implementations issues need to resolved as per design requirements and can be taken up at an institutional level by the Transport Department.
				of story from two to three may cause objection by the statutory authorities,	
		For Private entry/exit, diagonal chamfering of site may not be required. In existing site conditions, gate at corner could be comfortable,	Site chamfering has not been modified it is as per existing plans. Designs are not prescriptive; design and detailing may be undertaken to meet the requirements and objective of the exercise.		
4	Better control and isolation of depot functions, for safety security and improved operations and management			Too many access seems in admin block and may not be appropriate for access control, need to be checked from operational point of view	Operations, maintenance and security strategies need to be planned as per design
				For peaceful functioning of Admin Block, Driver's Rest Room/Canteen facilities should be planned away from the administrative functions,	
5	Staff comfort, convenience, policy requirements, built form efficiency.	Separate toilet block other than already available in all the building blocks would also be required for the operational staff who are not staying within the depot and doing their duties from their home or outside the depot premises,	Since administrative block acts as the interface between depot area and staff/entry exit/parking, all staff pass through the corridor which serves ETM and cash counters. Common toilet facilities are provided alongside this corridor for all crew (and other support staff) (even those not stating at the depot).	Physically Challenged person may not be able to discharge the duties of Driver/Conductor, mechanic or other support/operational staff in workshop. Any physically challenged support staff deployed in Admin block can be placed at ground floor. Therefore, requirement of lifts in double story buildings need to be re- checked. Regular maintenance of assets in bus depots are also a big challenge.	Lifts are required in public buildings as per disability act of 1999. They are not necessarily for complete physical disability. They also include permanent disability. Lot of support staff are also required in depots, such as cleaners, repair and maintenance staff etc. They may suffer from some permanent or temporary disability, including joint problems, injury, pregnant ladies, etc.
6	OTHERS			Location of Fire Tanks and Fire Pump Room are not shown in the layout	This is not a prescriptive design and does not include implementation level detailing. These may be developed over the final design developed by DIMTS.
				There may be financial implication due to increase of size in building mass,	Implementation and financing issues need to be resolved as per design requirements.